G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-96
~~191~~ .
(L.R.)

Later references.

103-95
File No. ~~87-95~~

Serial Nos. 1-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

~~Part~~ B for Jany 1899

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Balehur "Subbanna" regarding the Kistat charged on his Inam lands near Kollahalli of the Hoskotai Taluk

Countermanded
Ink.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Indexed

Petition No 3513 of 1895-96

Petitioner - Balepur Subbanna of Devanhalli

Subject - Levy of assessment on the excess in his minor Inam lands

—·—

The petitioner is in possession of the following lands in Gollahalli, Sulibele Hobli, Hoskote Taluk:-

S. No	area a - g	Survey assessment Rs - a p
34 (dry)	- " 25	- 1 - " -
34 (garden)	3 - 24	- 14 - " - "
35 (garden)	4 - 12	- 17 - " - "
66 (dry)	2 - 17	- 4 - " -
	10 - 38	36 - " -

2 At the Inam Settlement, lands to the extent of 7 a 9 g - 23 were confirmed to the petitioner's ancestor as Inam under three different grants. In treating this Inam in the above lands, the Survey Supt has treated the 3 cases as one and has brought 9 - 9 / 27 out of S. No 35 under

Whether the petitioner is entitled to be dealt with separately for each Inam - As the 2nd condition is also agreed on the Commissioner may order accordingly.

As the classification of the Inam lands I am inclined to agree with the proposal of the S. Supt which has been accepted by the 1st condition order may be issued on that basis.

9.1.99

Read 12/1/99

Draft Proceedings 12/1/99

Pro. 17/1/99.

net excess thus :—

Total area	10 a 9 — 38
Dest Inam cultivated	7 — 23
Gross Excess	3 — 15
50 per cent dest allowance	1 — 28
Net Excess	1 — 27

3 The petitioner contends - and it seems rightly so - (1) that the Survey Supt^t should not have treated the 3 cases as one (2) and that having done so, he should not have located the Excess in the most heavily assessed portion of the Inam.

This mode of treatment is most unfavorable to the Inamdar. AR

4 The Survey Supt^t justified his treatment of the 3 cases as one case on the ground that all the lands are in the possession of one and the same person. As regards the other objection, the Survey Supt^t states that if Govt^t so direct, he is prepared to value the Excess at dry rates.

Is there any rule sanctioning such a procedure. I think not - Each inam supported by a separate title deed must be dealt with and disposed of separately on the merits. AR

This may be adopted when done AR

5 The most obvious course in the present case is to treat the 3 grants separately

Certainly - it is just & proper to do so AR

6 Under this procedure, the Excess and the assessment thereon will be materially diminished thus :—

1st grant

	a	g
Area in the title deed	3	12
Area under actual enjoyment	4	9
Gross excess	"	37
50 per cent allowance	"	19
Net Excess	"	18

2d grant

	a	g
Area in the title deed	3	12
Area under actual enjoyment	4	12
Gross excess	1	"
50 per cent allowance	"	20
Net Excess	"	20

3d grant

In this case as the valuation of the Inam is Rs 4 and therefore less than Rs 5, no excess will be charged (Para 1 of Rules in Proceedings § 12-12-87)

Thus the total net excess will be 38 gunthas and not 1 a 29 g as now

This may also be pointed out when the order is drafted

CONFIDENTIAL

revised by the Survey Supdt

In valuing the excess in the 1st grant, a difficulty occurs, as S. No 34 is partly dry and partly garden. This difficulty can be satisfactorily solved by adopting Mr Chentsal Rao's draft order regarding the valuation of the excess thus:-

4 - 9 : 18 :: 15 : x

4 : 9 :: Rs 15 : 9 :: 18 : x

x lies between Rs 1 1/2 & 1 3/4

(2) Even if the 3 grants be treated as one grant, the excess can be satisfactorily valued only by applying Mr Chentsal Rao's draft order. The other method referred to by the Survey Supdt viz valuing the excess at dry rates goes to the other extreme and does not seem based on any principle. It would be interesting to know whether the Inamdar in this case was given an opportunity to select the excess as laid down in Govt Order dated 14-7-82 *

8/12/25

When the grant was made was this Survey No. wholly dry or partly dry and partly wet or garden — I think it was wholly dry — if so the Govt ought to treat the whole as may be adopted. Hence' complete calculations

RS

I am in favor of this proposal — after all the crop is uncertain and the first owner is not same as the present, especially when the crop has been long in possession of the Inamdar who has contributed to improvement of Katcha Survey —

RS.

14/12/25

* If and when this is done

RS

Note: As this was not a Govt grant

L.R. 2795/1

1896

Reference put up 16/4/96

Stamp sufficient

The last endorsement of the Survey Supt is dated 30/8/95. On 29/11/95 Petr addressed a petn to Govt which was received on 2/12/95. This was returned as it bore only one-anna stamp. Petr has again addressed this petn 8/S 8/4/96 duly stamped. The petn is first received by us four days after time. Not a Council case

Submd
A.S.
18/4/96

Submd
Q
18/4/96

No. 3573

Name of Petitioner, Balepur Subbanna residing in

Village, Devanahalli

Taluk, Devanahalli

District, Bangalore

Date of Arzi, 8.4.96

Date of Receipt, 13.4.96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 3 (three)

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the endorsement of the Survey Supt given to him regarding the Kelsat of Rs 6-8-0 charged on his Inam lands in Gullahalli of Hoskote Taluk.

States that the 3 Inam Numbers viz are in his grandfather's name. Came to the latter by purchase from 3 diffr persons to whom the Inams were originally given. That these (3) Inams have been given diffr Inamdars & have been dealt as seperate cases during the Inam Settlement & three seperate title deeds have been issued; that during the survey they were numbered seperately & they are dealt with seperately & have been shown to be in the enjoyment of 3 diffr persons as stated in his letter to the Survey Supt; that with all this the three have been now dealt with as one & that Y. 2a 35 G viz is in his enjoyment has been charged

Call for records
Mantle we have with us
no such case
similar

Submd
24/4/96

24/4/96

Prepd
J.M. 25/4

24/4/96

The case referred to is evidently the final order against which the petr has appealed. Submitted for orders
25/4

that he has converted some portion of the original dry Inam to garden at his own expense by sinking wells &c; that it is not right to charge the excess ~~over~~ of the Inam with Khalsa ~~over~~ garden portion ~~land~~ instead of the dry ~~land~~ portion of the Inam land.

& that the excess of the present survey assessment over the original assessment has not been realised in this Inam land.

Prays that the ~~excess~~ Khalsa charge by the Inam Supt be levied on the dry land & that some concession be shown in the matter of assessment.

A.S.K.
15-4-96.

Survey Supt's Endorsement No 28 of 1-3-95.

As it has been settled in the Inam dept that the Inam is a dry land we have settled the question by considering the Inam as dry - Therefore what is now done is right & it cannot be interfered with.

Petr again presented a petn to the Supt of Survey. In reply he was informed by cir Ort No 200 of 30/8/95 that the first endt of 1-3-95 had been given after due inquiry and therefore nothing more could be done.

H.S.
18/4

that it is unjust to consider the bagayat land as excess and charge Khalsa thereon; if at all the Khushki portion should be charged with Khalsa

that as provided by the Revenue Manual, no allowance has been made for after taking into consideration the rate of cess for the village.

that for these reasons the cess assessment now levied should be remitted

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
REGD. No. 3513
DATE 13-6-96

3513

Pat 1912 (3) of 20-8-96 30-4

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

103-
LR 87-95

Sl 2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 3513, dated 8th April 1896 received at the Dewan's Office from Balepur Subbanna & Devanhalli Lakkaya, ~~Devanhalli Taluk~~, appealing against the order of the Supt. Survey Settlement regarding the Kadalli charge on his Inam lands near Gollahalli of Hoskote Taluk

is forwarded, together with its three (3) enclosures, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore~~ Supt. Revenue Survey & District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

C. Naik

26/4

~~Chief~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,

26/30-4-1896

Jun 25/4.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

L.R. 103-95-3

1896 No. 881 — 55 — Rec'd 2-Dec 79

REVENUE DEPARTMENT * DEWAN'S OFFICE *

From

The Secretary, Dept: Mysore Revenue Survey

Subs
Marginal to be transfd
to New Petn Br
4/8/96

Rec'd 7/8.

Submitted with Refces.
10/8.

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan Government of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 1st August 1896
Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 100
No.

In reply to Official Memorandum No
SUBJECT. Pet 1912 of 8-4-96

Reporting on the Khalsat charged on the Inam lands near Bolhalli of Hoskote — Taluk attached to Bangalore Subbanna of Devanhalli &c

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Petn Branch
Please put up refs
K
5/8 Refces are put up

I had kept these papers with the object of submitting this together with other Khalsat-files in which the whole correspondence is being collected for the purpose of being printed

= But in this case the Supdt of Rev Survey has not sent the Jaravi Takhta Draft docket requests him to send a copy of the Jaravi Takhta relating to the Petr's case

My 20/4/96

Dafter
21/4/96

Rec
1.8.96

No 100

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 1st August 1896

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your official -

Memorandum No pet/1912 dated 8th April 1896, —

I have the honor to state that the case has

been disposed of strictly according to allocation

29-8 (Secretariat Docket 5 30/4/96) Rules, land assessed at Rupees 39·8 being —

confirmed as Inam and land assessed at

Rupees 6·8 being resumed and made "Khalsa".

2. The whole of the land although - covering 3 separate cases is in the enjoyment of one and the same person.

3. The Petitioner contends that Survey No 35 although classed and assessed as Bagait should be regarded as Dry crop for the — purpose of allocation. No 35 in question is irrigated by wells and if Government - so direct the area, 4 acres and 12 guntas, can be treated for the purpose of allocation as

dry

dry the Inamdar will benefit. The enclosures

are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

Supt. Mysore Revenue —

Survey

Sig. Sec 1912 of 26.6.[illegible]

L-Dr. 103-98-4

1897

(45)

No. 3257

Name of Petitioner Balèpanda Subbanna

Village } of
Taluk } Devanhally Kasba

District Bangalore Dt

Date of Arzi 6.5.97

Date of Receipt 7.5.97.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

with reference to Govt
lr. no 3513 dated 26.4.96 inform-
-ing him that his petition
of 8.4.96 praying for
reduction of cess levied
on his Inam lands in
Sulebèle, Hesarghatta Hobli,
had been forwarded
to Survey Supdt for report
and records, prays for
early disposal of the
same

Sd 10/5/97

Report

Sd 14/5

Report required for Sl No 881 of 1896
from Sur. Supdt.
(To asst Secy
on 10/8/96.

AK
12/5

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RCD. No. 32576
7-5-97

3257

3257 of 96. Rec. per 79 of 96 from Survey Supt.

Rec'd 11/11/97

Gl. No: 881 from Lieut. Supt. No. 100.3/1-8-96. is marked to the Asstt Secy. on 10/8/96. (Khalsa files)

103-95-5

Ak 11/11/97.

No. 1174

Name of Petitioner Balajena Subbanna

Village

Taluk Kasba Devanhally

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 4-11-97

Date of Receipt 8-11-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT.

with reference to this appl. such unfortunate petr. that his appeal petn. praying for cancellation of the Sptl assessment on his Inam land (by the Survey Supt.) had been sent to the Survey Supt. for report; and in view to continuation of his other remainder petns. since the last 2 years, prays for early disposal he begs to submit that the final orders have not been passed and pray for early disposal of the case

[illegible]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 1176 R
DATE 8, -11- 22

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಾ ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುವ

ಕಾಗೆ-11-97 ಇಂತಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯವರು

Lo/V.R.J
13/4/98

Pleased to call up rec.

E 15/4/98
x Khasab

1174 of 97 subd to asst Secy on 13-11-97

15/4/98 Subd

E 16/4/98

87-95

LR 103-95-6

1898 (7)

No. 25-28

Name of Petitioner Baleyur Subbanna

Village Kasba

Taluk Devanhally

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 12-4-96

Date of Receipt 13-4-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil.

ABSTRACT

States that in April 1896 he had submitted an appeal petition to Govt: regarding Khalsat on his Inam land Khalsat on his Inam land in Sulakalli Village of Hoz- to Taluk, that in reply he was informed that the matter had been referred to the Survey Superintendent, that the matter is pending for a very long time -

Prays that the excess Khalsa viz Rs 6-8-0 may be remitted

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 2528 R
DATE 13-4-98

1898 (8)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LR 103-95-7
LR-87-95
LR 7

From The Secretary to Govt
General and Rev Depts

To The Superintendent of
Revenue Survey
in Mysore

Dated 22?

Despatched 22 April/98

Received

General No. 10136-f

P. No. 1318

Enclosure No.

Adverting to the
letter No. cited dated 1.8.96
regarding on the petn of
Balepet Subbanna of
Devarahalli, in the matter
of levy of Khalsa on his
Inamland near Gokalli
in the Hoskote Taluk, has
the honor to request that
that he will be so good
as to forward a copy of
the Taraf Tekhta relating
to petr's case

2. The papers received
with the letter referred to above
are returned for needful re-
-port and recommendation

By order

for Secretary

LL 21/6/98

Gen. 31.] GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

REVENUE MAY 4 1898 DEWAN'S OFFICE

3-5-98

The previous letter pertaining to this subject was No 1008 1.8.96 was transferred to the Returns Branch on 5.8.96.

Rec'd my D.O. 8-7-95 to the Returns Branch 103-95-8

Rec'd 5/Dec

Transferred to Returns Br

Ref: put up 5/5/95

7/5/98

7.5.98

From The Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Genl & Rev. Dept.

Dated 30th April 1898.
Despatched 2nd May 1898.
Received

General No. 786
No.

Enclosure No.

Begs to forward, as desired, the accompanying copy of the "Jarab Takhta," relating to Balepur Subbanna of Devanhalli, in the matter of levy of Khalsat on his Inam land near Golhalli in the Hoskote Taluk and to state that the assessment of land, confirmed as Inam, in this case, is Rs. 29 and not Rs. 39-8-0 as stated in this Office No 100 of 1st August 1896.

For Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey

ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮತದಾರರ ನೋಂದಣಿಗಾಗಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳ ಸಾರಾಂಶ

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಪುನರ್ವಿಂಗಡಣೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಪುನರ್ವಿಂಗಡಣೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರು

ಮೈ॥ ಚೀಫ಼ ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಬರವರ ಠರಾವ್ ನಂಬರು–ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ ಮಾ ನೇ ಅಸಲಿ ಮೀ ಪತ್ರಿ

ಚೌಕ್ ನಂಬರು ಕಾಡಿಜಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಇನಾಂ ಕಾಯಂ ನಮೂದಾದದ್ದು.	ಮುಂದೆ ಇನಾಂ ನಡೆಸತಕದ್ದು.								ಖಾಲಸಾಕ				ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು.	ಜುಮ್ಲಾ.		ಇನಾಂ ಜಮೀನಿನಮೇಲಿನಿಂದ ವಸೂಲುಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.			ಪರ್ಯಾ.
	ಸರ್ವೇ ನಂಬರು.	ಖುಷ್ಕಿ.		ತರೀ.		ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು.		ಜುಮ್ಲಾ.	ಸರ್ವೇ ನಂಬರು.	ಖುಷ್ಕಿ		ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ	ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ	ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಜುನುಲ್ ಜೋಡಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಕ್ಲೈರೆಂಪ್ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	
		ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.		ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.							
೧೫	೧೬	೧೭	೧೮	೧೯	೨೦	೨೧	೨೨	೨೩	೨೪	೨೫	೨೬	೨೭	೨೮	೨೯	೩೦	೩೧	೩೨	೩೩	೩೪

ಸಾಲು ಬೆಳೆಗಳು: ಹೆಸರು/ಉದ್ದು/ಅವರೆ/ತೊಗರಿ ಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ಬೆಳೆಗಳು ಸಂಬಂಧ—ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಸೇ ಸುಮಾರು

ಸಾಲಿನ ಅಗಲ ಸಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು

ಸೇ ಸುಮಾರು

ಸೇ ಸುಮಾರು

ಸೇ ಸುಮಾರ

ನಂಬರು 135. ಮೂಡಾಸ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ದಿನ್ಪ್ರಕ್ಕು

ಇನಾಮು ಜಮೀನಿಯಾ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಎಕ್ಸೆಸ್ ಥರಾವ್ ತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ, ಇನಾಮು ಸೂಪರ್‌ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ

ಸಂಗಡಿಯ ಹೆಸರು.	ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಕೀಜ ನಂಬರು.	ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಹೆಸರು.	ಕೀನಿನ ಕೀಜ ನಂಬರು.	ಇನಾಂ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರ ವರ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ನಂಬರು.	ಇನಾಂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	ಇನಾಮಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ.	ಇನಾಮು ಸೂಪರ್‌ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ವರ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ನಂಬರು.	ಸರ್ವೇ ನಂಬರು.	ಈಗಿನ ಅನುಭವದಂತೆ. ಖುಷ್ಕಿ. ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಖುಷ್ಕಿ. ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ತರೀ. ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ತರೀ. ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಬಾಯಿತು. ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಬಾಯಿತು. ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಜಮ್ಮಾ. ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ.	ಜಮ್ಮಾ. ಆಕಾರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ.	ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರ್ ನೇ ದರ್ಖಾಸ್ತಿನ ನಂಬರ್ ನೇದು ಜಾತಾ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ	ದಿರ್ವಾ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಪ್ರೋಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೇರಿಗೆ. ಇನಾಮ್ ಜಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಜಮೀನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ ಇರುವುದು ಅಗಲು ಇಷ್ಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಇಷ್ಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಜಮೀನ್ ಜಪ್ತಿಮಾಡಿದರ್	ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರು ೧೯ನೇ ಧರಲಿ ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರ್ ೨೦ನೇದು ಜಾತಾ ಬೆಳೆದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ. ಇನಾಮ್.	ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರು ೧೯ನೇ ಧರಲಿ ಹೆಡ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರ್ ೨೦ನೇದು ಜಾತಾ ಬೆಳೆದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ. ಹಿಡುವಳಿ.
೦	೬	೬	೮	೯	೧೦	೧೧	೧೨	೧೩	೧೪	೧೫	೧೬	೧೭	೧೮	೧೯	೨೦	೨೧	೨೨	೨೩	೨೪

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LR 103-95-96

Draft for approval

Sup[d] to 1st Counsellor

Ry. 20/1/99

10497 app

may be printed after approval

20/1/99

Read:—

Petition No 3513 dated 8th April 1896 received from Bulepur Subbanna of Devanhalli, appealing against the order of the Survey Supt[t], declining to reduce the assessment on the excess in his Inam lands in Gollahalli, Hoskote Taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with docket No 786 dated 30th April 1898 from the Supt[t] of Dist Survey in Mysore

3. The records of the case show that the petitioner, ~~to~~ held under 3 different Inam Sanads, Certain lands in Gollahalli village, Hoskote Taluk. At the Inam Settlement, 7 acres and 23 guntas out of the total area, 10 acres 38 guntas were confirmed as Inam, ~~under three different grants. In allocating the excess~~, the S. Supt[t], ~~has~~ treated the 3 cases as one and has located the net excess as specified in the margin.

S. No.	area — ac-guntas	Survey assessment R-a-p
34 dry	— 25	— 1—4
34 garden	3—24	— 14—0
35 garden	4—12	— 17—0
66 dry	2—17	— 4—0
Total	10—38	— 36—0
Dist Inam confirmed	7. 23.	
Gross excess	3. 15	
Deduct 50 per cent land under tank	1. 75	
Net excess	1—27	

27 gunthas, in S. No. 35 which is garden and heavily assessed. In his letter No 100 dated 1-8-96, ~~the Survey Supt~~ Asst Supt states that the three cases were treated as one as all the lands were in the possession of one and the same person, and that if Govt. so direct ~~he is prepared to value the excess at dry rates~~

The area of H. No 35, 4 acres 12 gunthas can be treated for the purpose of allocation as dry land

Ref 611

Order No 5034-5042 & 26.1.99.
P.M. 50-98

Govt. considers that as the Inamdar proposes three different grants he is entitled to be dealt with separately in each Inam, the ~~absence of a rule to a contrary effect, the~~ excess ~~should be~~ separately charged in each case, ~~and that this~~ that procedure will materially benefit the petitioner when the Survey valuation of the Inam, as in the third case is less than Rs 5.

Regarding the classification of the excess, Govt. observe that under G.O. No 2771-9

64

is entitled, in cases where encroachment is not proved, to select that portion of the Drum ~~portion~~ which he proposes retains ~~and direct~~ ~~that in accordance therewith~~ the excess in this case may be valued at dry rates and located in the dry numbers of the Drum.

Excepted [illegible] for Secretary

To The Supt Rev Survey

To Dy Surv's of Stp[illegible] Insp[illegible]

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 26th January 1899

No. 5034-5042
P. F. 50-98

Passing orders on the petition of Balepur Subbanna of Devanhalli, and directing that the excess in his inam lands in Gollahalli, Hoskote taluk, may be valued at dry rates and located in the dry numbers of the inam.

MGBPB—31-1-99—200

READ—

Petition No. 3513, dated 8th April 1896, received from Balepur Subbanna of Devanhalli, appealing against the order of the Survey Superintendent, declining to reduce the assessment on the excess in his inam lands in Gollahalli, Hoskote taluk.

2. Correspondence ending with docket No. 786, dated 30th April 1898, from the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in Mysore.

3. The records of the case.

The petitioner held under three different inam title-deeds certain lands in Gollahalli village, Hoskote taluk. At the Inam Settlement, 7 acres and 23 guntas out of the total area, 10 acres and 38 guntas, were confirmed as inam, and the Survey Superintendent, treating the three cases as one, has located the net excess as specified in the margin, *viz.*, 1 acre and 27 guntas, in Survey No. 35, which is garden and heavily assessed. In his letter No. 100, dated 1st August 1896, Colonel Grant states that the three cases were treated as one, as all the lands were in the possession of one and the same person, and that if Government so direct, the area of No. 35, 4 acres and 12 guntas, can be treated, for the purpose of allocation, as dry land.

Survey No.	Area. A.	g.	Survey assessment. Rs.	a.	p.
34 Dry ...	0	25 ...	1	0	0
34 Garden...	3	24 ...	14	0	0
35 Do ...	4	12 ...	17	0	0
66 Dry ...	2	17 ...	4	0	0
Total ...	10	38 ...	36	0	0
Deduct— Inam confirmed	7	23			
Gross excess	3	15			
Deduct— Fifty per cent allowance under the Rules.	1	25			
Net excess	1	27			

No. 5034-5042—P. F. 50-98, DATED BANGALORE, 26TH JANUARY 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—Government consider that as the Inamdar possesses three different grants, he is entitled to be dealt with separately for each inam, the excess being separately charged in each case, a procedure that will materially benefit the petitioner, when the survey valuation of the inam, as in the third case, is less than Rs. 5.

2. Regarding the classification of the excess, Government observe that under Government Order No. 2771-9—64, dated 14th July 1882, the Inamdar is entitled, in cases where encroachment is not proved, to select the portion of the inam which he prefers to retain. Accordingly the excess in this case may be valued at dry rates and located in the dry numbers of the inam.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts for information.

Exd.—H.A.R.

LR 103-95-10

LR 87-95

LR 10